The guarantee to protect a Prince from insurrection carries with it an obligation to enquire into the causes of insurrection and to demand that the Prince shall remedy legitimate grievances and an obligation to prescribe the measure necessary to this result.

But despite this, the Paramount Power keeps the Prince on his *gadi,* but declines to interfere even in the cases of the grossest misrule. Within the course of the last few years, incredible outrages have been proved to have been committed in numerous states all over India. We have hinted at something of the excessive taxation and the conditions of well-nigh serfdom that exist in many states. One may add that with a few honourable exceptions there is no rule of Law, no liberty of person, freedom of speech, Press or association. The judiciary is corrupt. An absentee landlord is bad enough; an absentee Prince, wandering about the capitals of Europe, buying Derby winners or patronising cricket, is worse, especially when he holds the reins of supreme power. He represents the sole executive and legislative and judicial functions. From him the Courts derive their power. There are no laws but the Prince's will. In the "courts of justice" no charge may be brought against a state official. (This includes a village schoolmaster or a policeman!) Those who administer the law are often quite inexperienced. In some states the Prince presides over his own Court or the Prime Minister in his stead. Neither may have the least knowledge of Law. In several of the Kathiawar states subordinate magistrates and judges get as little as £80 to *£100* a year! First-rate men often find it impossible to work under the conditions in the states.

When the Prince is his own Judge of Appeal, people may wait for a hearing for years. The Prince is away on urgent state business (Paris?). When the appeal is heard, the Prince may be too tired or bored to study the data. Elaborate